

## Finance Risk Register - Appendix B7

REF	DIVISION	RISK TITLE & DESCRIPTION (a line break - press alt & return - must be entered after the risk title)	RISK CAUSE & EFFECT	RISK CATEGORY	GROSS RISK RATING (See next tab for guidance)			EXISTING CONTROLS IN PLACE TO MITIGATE THE RISK	CURRENT RISK RATING (See next tab for guidance)			DATE LAST REVIEWED:	11/04/2019
					LIKELIHOOD	IMPACT	RISK RATING		LIKELIHOOD	IMPACT	RISK RATING	FURTHER ACTION REQUIRED	RISK OWNER
1	Finance	<b>Gaps in Insurance cover</b> Failure to ensure that sufficient insurance cover is in place with the result that Council assets may not be adequately covered and that claims in excess of our current excess (£125k - Public Liability) could be turned down by our insurance company	<b>Cause(s):</b> 1. Incorrect/incomplete asset/risk data provided to insurer. 2. Total level of insurance insufficient e.g. to cover damage to multiple high value assets. 3. Uninsurable risks e.g. criminal/regulatory fines. <b>Effect(s):</b> Inadequate or no insurance cover could have significant financial implications, dependent on the value of the asset and the extent of the damage / loss.	Financial - Operational	1	4	4	1. Maintain schedule of all property, vehicles and plan to be insured by the Council 2. Maintain a register of all insurance premiums paid each year 3. Independent check on all such records by internal / external audit and professional insurance brokers.	1	3	3		Viknesh Gill
2	Finance	<b>Financial Market Volatility</b> Financial loss arising from the volatility of financial markets.	<b>Cause(s):</b> Market volatility, recession, banking failure <b>Effect(s):</b> We do not maximise our interest earnings on balances and could also suffer the following issues - Liquidity, Interest rate, Exchange rate, Inflation, Credit and counterparty, Refinancing, legal and regulatory risks	Financial - Operational	3	5	15	1. Regular strategy meetings 2. Use of external advisors 3. Internal Audit review of activities 4. Quarterly reporting to E&R PDS Committee (Members) 5. Adoption of CIPFA Treasury Management Code of Practice 6. Regular meetings / discussions with external auditors 7. Treasury management strategy	2	4	8		Tracey Pearson
3	Finance	<b>Capital Income Shortfall</b> Inability to generate capital receipts	<b>Cause(s):</b> Property price reductions as a result of the economic environment. Falling number of assets available for disposal <b>Effect(s):</b> Financial	Economic - Strategy	3	4	12	1. Close monitoring of spend and income 2. Reporting to Members 3. Tight control of spending commitments 4. Quarterly reports on capital receipts (actual and forecast) to Executive.	2	3	6		Tracey Pearson
4	Finance	<b>Pension Fund</b> The pension fund not having sufficient resources to meet all liabilities as they fall due	<b>Cause(s):</b> 1. Investment markets fail to perform in line with expectations 2. Market yields move at a variance with assumptions 3. Investment managers fail to achieve their targets over the longer term 4. Longevity horizon continues to expand 5. Deterioration in pattern of early retirements 6. Administering authority unaware of structural changes in an employer's membership e.g. large fall in employee members, large number of retirements 7. Mandatory pooling of investments (London CIV) may result in appointment of poorer performing investment managers. <b>Effect(s):</b> Financial	Financial - Operational	3	5	15	1. Use of external advice. 2. Financial: Monitoring of investment returns - analysis of valuation reports 3. Demographic: Longevity horizon monitored at triennial reviews - quarterly review of retirement levels 4. Regulatory: Monitor draft regulations and respond to consultations - actuarial advice on potential where appropriate 5. Internal audit review of activities, performance, controls etc. 6. Quarterly reports to Pensions Investment Sub-Committee 7. Funding Strategy Statement 8. Statement of Investment Principles 9. Communications Policy 10. Governance Policy 11. Triennial valuation by actuary 12. Strategic asset allocation review.	2	4	8		Director of Finance
5	Finance	<b>Failure to deliver a sustainable Financial Strategy which meets with BBB priorities and failure of individual departments to meet budget</b>	<b>Cause(s):</b> 1. As a consequence of significant Government funding reductions (austerity is expected to continue beyond 2019/20), need to reduce the Council's significant 'budget gap' of £31.7m per annum by 2022/23. 2. Part of the devolution of funding, business rates will be devolved to Local Government from 2020/21 (75% of the total national business rate monies). The outcome of the Government's awaited Spending Review and Fair Funding Review will impact on the 2020/21 and future years budget and creates financial uncertainty. A future national recession could have a significant impact on income generated to fund key services within a more devolved model. 3. Failure to meet departmental budgets due to increased demand on key services resulting in overspends: (Housing (homelessness and cost of bed and breakfast); Social Care (welfare reform and ageing population); and Waste (growing number of households). 4. The risk of the Council not being able to carry out its statutory duties (e.g. pupil admissions, school improvement, child protection) as a consequence of funding reductions. 5. Dependency on external grants to fund services (schools and housing benefits are ring-fenced) - effect if grant reduces (Public Health services) or ceases. 6. The new national living wage will have cost implications to the Council over the next few years (e.g. care providers and carers). 7. As the local government core grant is fully phased out, local government will take on new funding responsibilities e.g. public health, housing benefit administration for pensioners. With ageing population there will be associated cost pressures. 8. Impact of welfare reforms and the phased roll out of Universal Credit. 9. Failure to identify and highlight frauds and weaknesses in the system of internal control (which invariably have a financial impact). Overall, fraud losses are mainly benefit related (Council Tax Support / Single Person Discount). <b>Effect(s):</b> - Increased overspends in particular services - Council unable to carry out its statutory duties due to services cuts - Reputational damage - Failure to achieve our Building a Better Bromley priorities.	Financial - Operational	5	5	25	Strategic Controls: 1. Regular update to forward forecast 2. Early identification of future savings required 3. Transformation options considered early in the four year forward planning period 4. Budget monitoring to include action from relevant Director to address overspends including action to address any full year additional cost 5. Mitigation of cost pressures including demographic changes 6. Quarterly review of growth pressures and mitigation. 7. Apart from 'One Bromley' projects there are opportunities for the Transformation Reviews and Core Statutory Minimum Reviews.  Operational Controls: 1. Management of Risks document covering inflation, capping, financial projections etc. attached to budget reports 2. Departmental risk analysis 3. Reporting of financial forecast updates in year to provide an update of financial impact and action required 4. Obtain monthly trend / current data to assist in any early action required 5. Obtain regular updates / market intelligence 6. Reporting full year effect of budget variations 7. Analysis of government plans and changes	4	5	20	The council is undertaking a review to determine the core statutory minimum service requirements and exploring transformation opportunities to help meet the ongoing budget gap	Director of Finance
6	Finance	<b>Failure to act upon Financial assessments or arrears in a timely manner</b>	<b>Cause(s):</b> 1. Severe/catastrophic IT problems 2. Loss of key staff 3. Organisation experiencing severe financial problems <b>Effect(s):</b> Loss of income	Financial - Operational	3	3	9	Controls: 1. There is a disputed debt process that is followed to ensure that departments do not hold up debt recovery (i.e. actioning write offs and disputes). 2. All outstanding Financial Assessments are completed in accordance with the agreed timescales 3. Monitoring is carried out on a regular basis to ensure financial assessments are completed and contributions are set up on CareFirst in order for service users to be charged 4. Effective SLA is in place	2	3	6		Claudine Douglas-Brown
7	Finance	<b>Failure of Finance IT systems</b>	<b>Cause(s):</b> Failure of CareFirst or the various databases Oracle cheques not being produced Failure of BACS to pay LBB <b>Effect(s):</b> Inability to pay creditors, calculate payments due to our suppliers / foster carers (Payments Team) or to accommodate charging information for billing clients which could result in fines, penalties and loss of goodwill / reputation.	Contractual and Partnership - Operational	3	2	6	1. CareFirst has replaced the majority of the databases used in Finance for ECHS payments 2. All systems are backed up daily 3. If systems fail, new databases can be built and/or manual calculations for charges or payments could be made 4. Manual cheque payments could be raised 5. Close liaison with Liberata (and sub contracted company Xerox) to discuss any problems - escalation procedure works well. 6. Alternative printers being available at Xerox reduces the risk of cheques not being produced due to printer failure 7. Stock control measures in place to ensure cheques are ordered in time 8. BACS payments increasing - solid and dependable	2	2	4	Implications of any replacement to Carefirst will need to be monitored closely, and preventative action taken to mitigate risk	Claudine Douglas-Brown
8	Finance	<b>Failure of external contractors</b>	<b>Cause(s):</b> Contractor ceases to trade due financial failings. <b>Effect(s):</b> disruption and delays to key services, financial loss and adverse publicity	Contractual and Partnership - Operational	3	4	12	1. Constant review of contractors financial standing 2. Maintaining knowledge and contact with alternative service suppliers	2	3	6		John Nightingale

## Finance Risk Register - Appendix B7

REF	DIVISION	RISK TITLE & DESCRIPTION (a line break - press alt & return - must be entered after the risk title)	RISK CAUSE & EFFECT	RISK CATEGORY	GROSS RISK RATING (See next tab for guidance)			EXISTING CONTROLS IN PLACE TO MITIGATE THE RISK	CURRENT RISK RATING (See next tab for guidance)			DATE LAST REVIEWED:	11/04/2019
					LIKELIHOOD	IMPACT	RISK RATING		LIKELIHOOD	IMPACT	RISK RATING		
					FURTHER ACTION REQUIRED						RISK OWNER		
9	Finance	<b>Contractor Poor Performance</b> Contractor fails to meet performance expectations across Revs & Bens, Payroll, Pensions, Debtors and Accounts Payable	<b>Cause(s):</b> Severe catastrophic IT problems Loss of key staff Organisation experiencing severe financial problems  <b>Effect(s):</b> - Delay / non payment of suppliers, customers, staff salaries, pensions. - Increase in fraudulent payments - Delayed or non repayment from debtors  Resulting in loss of income, increased costs, increase in complaints and subsequent loss of good will and / or reputational damage.	Financial - Operational	3	3	9	1. Effective SLAs and contracts in place 2. Regular operational and strategic meetings monitoring progress and identifying action required 3. Action identified and formally agreed when monitoring key performance areas 4. Formal structures and procedures in place for monitoring and corrective action to minimise risk 5. Process reviewed on an ongoing basis 6. Weekly monitoring of complaints and patterns identified	2	3	6		Claudine Douglas-Brown / John Nightingale
10	Finance	<b>Significant Fraud/Corruption</b>	<b>Cause(s):</b> Lack of controls Dishonest staff/suppliers/customers Collusion Poor systems Lack of Management oversight Inadequate segregation of duties  <b>Effect(s):</b> Financial loss Adverse publicity/reputational damage Staff morale lowered Resource implications for investigation	Financial - Operational	3	3	9	1. Staff vetting 2. Segregation of duties 3. Documented procedures/regulations/code of conduct 4. Whistleblowing policy 5. Fidelity guarantee 6. IT security 7. Robust computer systems/audit trail 8. Counter Fraud staff 9. Internal/External audit	2	2	4		David Hogan

Remember to consider current Internal Audit priority one recommendations when identifying, assessing and scoring risks.